

MICROBIAL STUDY OF CONJUNCTIVITIS IN IRAQI PATIENTS

Mohammed A.M. Al-Mishhadani¹, Jasim M. Karhoot², Fakryi M. Al-Hadithi¹ and Sudad J. Mohammed^{*3} ¹Scientific Council of Ophthalmology, Baghdad, Iraq

²Department of microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

³Market Research & Consumer Protection Center, University of Baghdad, Iraq

*E-mail: sudadjasm@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract

This study included 237 patients with purulent conjunctivitis who were referred to the out - patient clinical of Ibn- Al- Haitham eye Teaching Hospital and Medical City teaching hospital (Baghdad). During the period of 1st September 2009 to 31st August 2011, their ages ranged from 3 months to 82 years. The result showed that 204 (86.07%) patients had positive results using direct examination by Gram's stain and 184 (77.54%) patients had positive results by using culture method technique. No fungal infections were recorded in this study. Gram positive coccid were the most common causative agents of purulent conjunctivitis, (36.4%) *Staphylococcus epidermidis* followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (28.8%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9.5%), *Haemophilus influenza* (6.75%), *Corynebacterium diphtheria* (6%), *Streptococcus pneumonia* and Alpha hemolytic streptococcus (5 .4% for each), *Moraxella lacunata*. (4%), *Moraxella catarrhalis* is (3.4%), *E. coli* (2%) and *Shigella* (0.7%). The results generally showed that the most effective drug was Ciprofloxacin, followed by Cefotaxime, Vancomycine, and Rifampin. The least effective drugs were Ampicillin, Tetracycline and Erythromycin, this being related to the laboratory results of culture and sensitivity.

Keyword: Microbial study, Bacterial conjunctivitis, Iraqi patients.

Introduction

Conjunctivitis is a nonspecific term used to describe an inflammation of the conjunctiva (ophthalmic), caused by a wide range of conditions. It is commonly referred as one of the causes of "red eye" or "pink eye". It is the most common eye disease worldwide, in distribution affecting all ages, races, social strata, and both genders (Christopher and Quinn, 2008). It varies in severity from a mild hyperemia with tearing, to a severe conjunctivitis with copious purulent discharge (Schwab et al., 1995). Most cases of infectious conjunctivitis are sporadic or related to epidemic outbreak. No specific risk factors for its development are ill defined and related to underlying etiology. Conjunctivitis has been reported as the initial manifestation, which will ultimately lead to fatal systemic infection (Felman et al., 2002). Patients who have undergone glaucoma-filtering surgery with formation of a conjunctiva bleb have increased risk of developing endophthalmitis as a result of bacterial conjunctivitis. Inadequately treated, gonococci conjunctivitis may progress, resulting in keratitis, endophthalmitis, and blindness. Most common bacterial pathogens can cause conjunctivitis are:- Staphylococcus species, hemophilic species, Streptococcus pneumonia, and Moraxella species. Streptococcal and Hemophilic infections occur more frequently in children (Duke-Elder, 2005).

This work was carried out to investigate the role and etiological agents which cause acute purulent conjunctivitis in Iraqi patients with evaluation the susceptibility of the isolated bacteria to antibiotics.

Materials and Methods

Total 237 patients were included in this study, they were suffering from purulent conjunctivitis. The above patients attended the out patients clinical of Ibn- Al-Haitham teaching eye hospital, and medical city teaching hospital, during September 1999, until August 2001.

The clinical diagnosis was done by senior call in that day and the possible etiologies of infections of the conjunctiva were determined.

All cases were thoroughly studied from bacteriological and mycological aspects. Each case was carefully assessed regarding isolation and identification of the causative agents whether they are bacterial or fungal.

Specimen's collections

Conjunctiva swab taken from patients with purulent conjunctivitis. All the samples were subjected to laboratory investigation. All samples were inoculated on blood, macconkey and chocolate agar at 3 7C for 48-96 hrs. Incubation. While on Sabouraud's glucose agar plates, incubation at room temp, for 7-14 day. (Finegoid and baron, 1986; Jawetz *et al* 1987) Lacto phenol cotton blue stain was performed to exclude any fungal filaments.

Bacterial identification:

Identification of bacteria was done according to the method described by Jawetz *et al* (1987). Fungi were identified according to the method described by Emmos *et al* (1974).

Antimicrobial susceptibility test:

Antimicrobial susceptibility test of the isolated microorganisms were performed by using the Baur-Kirby disc diffusion method (Baur *et al*, 1966).

Results

A total of 237 patients with acute purulent conjunctivitis were included in this study. Higher incidence was recorded among male 141 (59.49%) than female 96 (40.50%). (Table 1). The distribution of the occupations was as follows: 51 employees, 35 housewives, 25 students, 12 breadwinner, 12 teachers, 7 retired and 5 workers.

Laboratory findings:

In this study, microorganisms species were demonstrated from 204 (86.07%) patients eyes by using Gram's stain technique, while 184 (77.64%) species were isolated by using culture methods. Both Gram's stain and culture methods detected microorganisms from 174 (73.41%) patients sample (Table 2).

In the child age group, microorganisms species were isolated from 64 children, 18 (28.1%) were infected by *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, 15(23.4%) *Staphylococcus aureus*. 9(15.62%) *Hemophilic influenza*, 9(14.0%) *Streptococcus* spp. 6 (9.4%) *Streptococcus pneumonia*, 3 (4.68%) Alpha hemolytic *Streptococcus*, 5 (7.81%) *Moraxella lacunata*, *Moraxella catarrhalis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated in the same percentage 4 (6.25%) table (3).

Table (4) showed the microorganism, which isolated from adult age group. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* showed higher percentage 49 (40.8%), followed by *Staphylococcus aurous* 38 (31.67%) patients, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 10 (8.33%) patients, *Corynebacterium diphtheria* 9 (7.5%) patients, Alpha hemolytic *Streptococcus* 5(4.17%) patients, *E .coli* 2 (2.5%) patients, *Streptococcus pneumonia* 2(2.5%) patients, and each of *Moraxella lacunata*, *Moraxella catarrhalis*, *Shigella* was isolated from only single patients.

Bacterial isolate showed them sensitivity to antibiotic used in this study the most effective drug was ciprofloxacin followed by Cefotaxime followed by Vancomycine and Rifampin.

Table 1 : Percentage of microorganisms species demonstrated in 237 patients with acute purulent conjunctivitis in relation to sex

R	LAB.	Fe	emale	Male		
	Result	Direct	Culture	Direct	Culture	
1.	Positive	83 (86%)	78 (81.3%)	121 (86%)	106 (75%)	
2.	Negative	13 (14%)	18 (18.2%)	20 (14%)	35 (25%)	
Total		96	96	141	141	

Table 2 :	Microorgan	isms species	detected by	/ direct	examination a	and culture	method in 237	patients

	Causative agents	Direct Exam*.	Culture**
1.	Alpha hemolytic streptococcus	8	8 (5.4%)
2.	Corynebacterium diphtheriae	9	9 (6%)
3.	E. coli	2	3 (2%)
4.	Haemophilus influenzae	7	10 (6.8%)
5.	Moraxella lacunata	3	6 (4%)
6.	Moraxella catarrhalis	5	5 (3.4%)
7.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	11	14 (9.5%)
8. 9.	Staphylococcus (Spp.)***	146	120 (65.2%)
10.	Shigella	1	1 (0.7%)
11.	Streptococcus pneumoniae	8	8 (5.4%)
12.	No growth	4	53 (22.4%)
	total	204 = 86.07%	237 184 +ve

* Results obtained by direct examination by Gram's stain.

** Results obtained by culture method examination.

*** (Spp.) includes (Staphylococcus aurous + Staphylococcus epidermidis)

Table	3:	Microorganisms	species were	isolated from	90 children v	vith acute p	ourulent con	iunctivitis ((culture method	I)
									(·/

a	Causative agents	NO	% '
I.	Alpha hemolytic streptococcus	3	4.68
2.	Corynebacterium diphtheria	0	0
3.	E. coli	0	0
4.	Haemophilus influenza	9	15.62
5.	Moraxella lacunata	5	7.81
6.	Moraxella catarrhalis	4	6.25
7.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4	6.25
8.	Staphylococcus aureus	15	23.4
9.	Staphylococcus epidermidis	18	28.1
10.	Shigella	0	0
11.	Streptococcus pneumonia	6	9.4
	total	64	100%

N	causative agents	NO	%
1.	Alpha hemolytic streptococcus	5	4.17
2.	Corynebacterium diphtheriae	9	7.5
3.	E. coli	3	2.5
4.	Haemophilus influenza	1	0.83
5.	Moraxella lacunata	1	0.83
6.	Moraxella catarrhalis	1	0.83
7.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	10	8.33
8.	Staphylococcus aureus	38	31.67
9.	Staphylococcus epidermidis	49	40.8
10.	Shigella	1	0.83
11.	Streptococcus pneumonia	2	1.67
total		12	(100%)

Table 4 : Microorganisms species were isolated from 120 adult patients with acute purulent conjunctivitis (culture method).

Discussion

Microbial conjunctivitis is a common ocular infection, it is an inflammatory reaction of the conjunctiva after exposure to certain pathogenic bacteria, more frequently treated by the primary care physician (or general practitioner), and is therefore a condition not often seen by ophthalmologist (Mark, 1995). In this study the range of age was three months to eighty two years old, mean age (38.8 year) this is comparable with results of Mahajan, (1983). The main complaints of the patients were red eye, foreign body sensation pain in addition to discharge. These finding are comparable to presentation of patients included in the study of Abelson et al. (2008), but the severity and duration were different because of variable health awareness and the socioeconomic state among different communities. The laboratory result showed, that (86.07%) patient's samples were positive by using direct examination Gram's stain and (77.54%) were positive result obtained by culture method. These results are compatible to that reported by Stenson et al. (1982), who found (80%). Other studies Dewan et al. (1992) and Cevenkel and Globocnik, (1997), were obtained (100%) positive result by both (direct and culture) methods. This study showed that 10 (4.5%) of the patients sample were positive by using culture method and negative by Gram's stain technique. This might be due to presence of normal microbial flora, or few microorganisms taken in the sample for direct examination. While Stenson, et al. (1982), found (15%). In this study, (22.36%) of the samples were negative by using culture method, it might be due to a non-bacterial infection of the conjunctiva especially when we know that the various forms of conjunctivitis are often not associated with pathognomonic features. Papa et al, (2002), who consider these results as viral infections. While Chisari et al. (2003) and Rietveld et al. (2005), they consider the negative result as a noninfectious conjunctivitis.

In this study different microorganisms species were isolated from (81.6%) the adult group. This result is comparable to the result obtained by Papa *et al.* (2002), but higher percentages (47%) was reported by Mahajan, (1983). This variation in the results of microorganisms isolated in different studies is probably due to seasonal variation. For examples, homophiles species is more often found in warmer climates in young children. Mark *et al.* (1995), reported that the isolation rate of conjunctiva infections due to Staphylococcus spp. is not affected by any seasonal variation or climates.

The common microorganisms isolated from adult group in this study were 49 (40.8%) Staphylococcus epidermidis, followed by 38 (31.67%) Staphylococcus aureus, 10(8.33%) Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 9 (7.5%) Corynebacterium diphtheriae, 5 (4.17%) Alpha hemolytic streptococcus, 3 (2.5%) E. coli, 2 (1.67%) Streptococcus pneumonia, 1(0.83%) for each) Moraxella lacunata, Moraxella catarrhalis and Shigella. These results are compatible to the result obtained by Rose, (2007), whom found that the percent of Staphylococcus epidermidis isolated was higher than Staphylococcusaureus and others microorganisms. While Papa et al, (2002), whom they reviewed that the percentage of Staphylococcus aureus (57%) and Staphylococcus epidermidis (37%), and Mahajan, (1983), Staphylococcus aureus (36%), and (16%) for Staphylococcus epidermidis,

In this study *Moraxella catarrhalis* was isolated from 5(3.4%) patients. This microorganisms was isolated for the first time in Iraqi patients, for this reason this part need more study and investigation to found the relationship or role of this microorganisms in eye infections.

Conclusions

From our work we conclude that:

- 1. In this study, for the first time in Iraq, *Moraxella catarrhalis* was isolated from patients with acute purulent conjunctivitis.
- 2. Presence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* which are considered as a normal microbial flora suggest that bacterial conjunctivitis was due to one or more of the defense mechanisms being broken down.
- 3. Laboratory studies especially direct examination by Gram's stain is important and effective method for diagnosis of acute conjunctivitis, being rapid and inexpensive.
- 4. Although conjunctivitis is not a major cause of ocular morbidity or visual loss, it may cause serious and permanent damage to the eye. And because it is a common multifaceted disease process that has a variety of etiologies, clinical features and treatments. Professional care is needed and microbiological studies are essential for confirmation of clinical diagnosis and to institute an appropriate and effective treatment.
- 5. In this study no fungal species were isolated from any patients sample.

6. The optimum treatment for bacterial conjunctivitis is still being studied.

References

- Abelson, M.B.; Heller, W.; Shapiro, A.M. *et al.* (2008). Clinical cure of bacterial conjunctivitis with azithromycin 1%: Vehicle-controlled, double-masked clinical trial. Am J Ophthalmol, 145(6): 959–965
- Arrfa, R.C. (1997). Grayson's diseases of the cornea, 3rd ed. St Louis: Mosby -Year Book, 121: 120127.
- Cevenkel, B. and Globocnik, M. (1997). Conjunctival scraping and impression cytology in chronic conjunctivitis. Eur. J. Ophthalmo. 13; 7(1): 19-23.
- Chisari, G.; Sanfilippo, M. and Reibaldi, M. (2003). Treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis with topical ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin: A comparative study. Infez. Med., 11(1): 25–30. Italian.
- Christopher, J. and Quinn, O.D. (2008). Care of the patient with conjunctivitis. Optometric clinical practice guideline org/cog-11-cpc.
- Dewan *et al.* (1992). Cytological evaluation of conjunctival scrape smears in cases of conjunctivitis. Indian J. Path. Microbio., 35(2): 118-124.
- Duke-Elder, S. (2005). Inflammation of conjunctiva. In Duke-Elder system of ophthalmology, Henry Kimpton, 8(1): 47.
- Feduckowicz, H.B. (2003). External infections of the eye, 3rd ed. Norwalk. C.T: Appleton- Century-Crofts.
- Felman, R.B.; Stem, G.A. and Hood, C.I. (2002).*Chromobacterium violaceum* infection of the eye. A report of two cases. Journal of Clinical Microbiology.

- Mahajan, V.M. (1983). Acute Bacterial infection of the eye. Their etiology and treatment. BJ0. mar; 67(3): 191-194.
- Mark, J. (1995). Mannis Bacterial Conjunctivitis. In: Duane's Clinical ophthalmology, Little, Browen and Company, Boston, 12: 120-125.
- Ostler, H.B. (1996). Diseases of the external eye and adnexal. Baltimore:Williams & Wilkins, 156: 74-78.
- Papa, V. *et al.* (2002). Treatment of Acute Bacterial Conjunctivitis With Topical Netilmicin Cornea, 21(1): 43-47.
- Rietveld, R.P.; ter Riet, G.; Bindels, P.J.; Bink, D.; Sloos, J.H. and van Weert, H.C. (2005). The treatment of acute infectious conjunctivitis with fusidic acid: A randomised controlled trial. Br J Gen Pract, 55(521): 924–930.
- Rose, P. (2007). Management strategies for acute infective conjunctivitis in primary care : a systematic review. Informa health care, 12(8): 1903-192.
- Schwab, I.R.; Chandler, R. and Dawson, M.D. : Conjunctiva. In:(1995) sarson W.W. general ophthalmology, Appleton &Lange.
- Srinivasan, B.D.; Jackobiec, F.A. and Iwamoto, T. (1982). Conjunctiva. In jacobiec FA ed.(1982). Ocular anatomy, embryology and teratology. Philadelphia. Harper and row 111: 733-60.
- Stenson, S.; Newman, R. and Fedukowicz, H. (2006). Laboratory studies in acute conj. Arch. Ophthalmo., 19: 1275-77.
- Vichyanond, P.; Brown, Q. and Jackson, D. (1993). Acute Bacterial conjunctivitis. Bacteriology and clinical implications. Clinical pediatric phila. pages 10-14.